48

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 6763 of 2007

PETITIONER S.K. Chaturvedi, s/o late Shri R.D. Chaturvedi, aged about 58 years, Occupation Sub-Engineer, Electrical/ Mechanical Public Health Engineering Department, Janjgir Champa (C.G) – R/o near Nova Office, Ware House Road Kududand, Bilaspur (C.G).

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Public Health Engineering, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G).
2. Engineer-in-Chief, Department of Public Health Engineering, Raipur (C.G)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Ku. Deepali Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pradeep Singh, P.L. appears for the State on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(17.11.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner arguing that while passing the order dated 18.6.2007 in W.P.No.515/2005, this Court directed for preparation of complete separate gradation list for degree holders and diploma holders and thereafter for consideration of their promotion. She submits that the State is not taking interest as per the directions issued by this Court in W.P.No.515/2005 and the order passed by this Court was kept in abeyance due to which, the persons like petitioner, who are at the verge of retirement, are not getting any benefit of the said order passed by this Court. She submits that looking to the reluctance of the State, the petitioner has filed a representation and when nothing was done on his representation, he has to file this petition.

W.P.(S) No. 6763 of 2007

So far as relief no.1 is concerned, there is no necessity of giving direction in this regard because there is a detailed order of this Court in W.P.No.515/05 and the State is bound to comply with the said order.

Relief no.2 is a consequential relief and no petition can be entertained for the said relief at this stage in view of the earlier order passed by this Court.

Therefore, I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition at this stage.

However, it is observed that if the representation (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner in this regard has not been disposed of so far, the concerned authority should dispose of the same at the earliest to maintain and achieve the spirit of the earlier order passed by the Court.

This petition stands finally disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

C.c. as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

/Rao/